**Annex 8**

to the State Research Programme

“Education”

 Regulations for the Fourth Open Call for Proposals

**Form for Individual/Consolidated Evaluation of the Project Proposal Expert Evaluation**

|  |
| --- |
| Title of the project application:Expert(s): |
| **1** | **Scientific Quality of the Project** | Maximum score: 5 points |
| **1.1** | Consideration: the scientific quality, reliability, and novelty of the research | (justification) |
| **1.2** | Consideration: the scientific quality of the selected research strategy and methodological solutions, and also the suitability for the achievement of the specified objectives and tasks |
| **1.3** | Consideration: the ability of the project to generate new knowledge or technological insights |
| **1.4** | Consideration: the contribution of cooperation partners (if any), their scientific capacity, planned cooperation quality. |
| **2** | **Impact of Project Results** | Maximum score: 5 points |
| **2.1** | Consideration: expected transfer of the acquired knowledge and skills in further activity and the development of scientific capacity | (justification) |
| **2.2** | Consideration: research development possibilities, including contribution to the preparation of new projects for submission in tenders of the European Union framework programmes for research and innovation and other research and innovation aid programmes and technology initiatives |
| **2.3** | Consideration: the research will generate knowledge or policy recommendations and solutions relevant to the sector, the economy, and society |
| **2.4** | Consideration: sustainability of the knowledge generated and a qualitative dissemination plan, including planned scientific publications and public outreach |
| **2.5** | Consideration: the implementation of the research contributes to strengthening the scientific capacities of the research staff, including students |
| **3** | **Project Feasibility and Provisions** | Maximum score: 5 points |
| **3.1** | Consideration: quality of the research work plan and its relevance to the objective. The intended resources are adequate and sufficient for the achievement of the objective. It is intended to ensure efficient use of resources in the research. The planned work stages and tasks are clearly defined, relevant, and reliable | (justification) |
| **3.2** | Consideration: scientific qualifications of the project manager and of the key project implementers on the basis of the submitted curriculum vitae (CV) |
| **3.3** | Consideration: appropriate research management, including quality management, is intended. The management organisation allows to follow the progress of the research. Potential risks have been evaluated and a plan for the prevention thereof or minimisation of the negative impact thereof has been developed |
| **3.4** | Consideration: there is the research infrastructure necessary for carrying out the research and access to another research infrastructure of cooperation partners (if applicable) |
| **3.5** | Consideration: the institution which is implementing the research and its co-operation partners (if applicable) have the knowledge necessary for implementation of the project |
| Expert recommendations for project implementation |  |
| Potential risks in project implementation |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria**  | **Scientific excellence** | **Impact** | **Implementation** | **TOTAL**(rating) |
| **Points** |  |  |  |
| **Weight** | 30% | 50% | 20% |