

MSCA4Ukraine

Evaluation criteria for postdoctoral fellowships

Excellence- 35%	Impact- 35%	Implementation- 30%
Quality of the researcher's professional experience, competences and skills, and potential for future research accomplishment.	Quality of the host organisation's plan for supporting the researcher, including the quality and suitability of training and career development measures proposed and efforts to enhance temporary integration in the host country's academic community, including plans to support access for fellows and family members to accommodation, medical services, schooling and other social supports	Suitability of the professional match between the researcher and the academic mentor/host institution (work in similar areas of research, potential for mutual academic benefit).
Quality of the researcher's proposal in terms of originality and potential to create a significant contribution to the respective field of research.	Quality of measures proposed to <i>prepare for</i> reintegration (in the future, in most cases, beyond the fellowship, when safe conditions for return are met), including efforts to (remotely) maintain and strengthen connections with institutions and researchers in Ukraine while on the fellowship.	Compatibility with the host institution (departmental strength in the researcher's area, or alternatively a void that the research can successfully fill).
	Quality of the explanation for the proposed fellowship duration in respect to the specific needs and interests of the researcher, and advancement of the proposed research. In the case of short-term fellowship requests (under 18-months), quality of the proposed measures to either (a) prepare for reintegration Ukraine (where this is stated rationale for the short-term request) or (b) prepare for follow-up fellowship or position outside Ukraine after the short-term fellowship.	

Evaluation criteria for doctoral fellowships

Excellence- 35%	Impact- 35%	Implementation- 30%
Quality of the researcher's professional experience, competences and skills, and potential for future research accomplishment.	Quality of the host organisation's plan for supporting the researcher, including the quality and suitability of training and career development measures proposed and efforts to enhance temporary integration in the host country's academic community, including plans to support access for fellows and family members to accommodation, medical services, schooling and other social supports	Suitability of the professional match between the researcher and the academic mentor/host institution (work in similar areas of research, potential for mutual academic benefit).
Quality of the researcher's proposal in terms of a first independent contribution to the relevant academic field.	Quality of measures proposed to <i>prepare for</i> reintegration (in the future, in most cases, beyond the fellowship, when safe conditions for return are met), including efforts to (remotely) maintain and strengthen connections with institutions and researchers in Ukraine while on the fellowship.	Compatibility with the host institution (departmental strength in the researcher's area).
	Quality of the explanation for the proposed fellowship duration in respect to the specific needs and interests of the researcher, and advancement of the proposed research. In the case of short-term fellowship requests (under 18-months), quality of the proposed measures to either (a) prepare for reintegration Ukraine (where this is stated rationale for the short-term request) or (b) prepare for follow-up fellowship or position outside Ukraine after the short-term fellowship.	